# A DUPLICATION OF THE H<sub>1</sub> (FLAGELLAR ANTIGEN) LOCUS IN SALMONELLA<sup>1</sup>

### JOSHUA LEDERBERG

Department of Genetics, Stanford University School of Medicine, Palo Alto, California Received May 30, 1961

TYPICAL Salmonella strains are "diphasic" with respect to their flagellar antigens. That is, they manifest one of two genes,  $H_1$  or  $H_2$ , but not both in a given clone until a phase variation takes place and the alternative antigen is expressed.

The genetic analysis of this alternation by transductional methods (Lederberg and Edwards 1953; Lederberg and Iino 1956) has shown that the variation depends on a "change of state" at the  $H_2$  locus. The alternating factor has not been separated by recombination from the determinant for antigenic specificity of  $H_2$ . On the other hand, repeated cycles of alternation in state have occurred at  $H_2$  without influencing its antigenic specificity. The  $H_1$  gene, the determinant of the antigen of the alternative phase, is not linked to  $H_2$ , but the expression of  $H_1$  depends on the inactive state of  $H_2$ . The present paper is part of a comprehensive study of the genetics of Salmonella phase variation (IIno 1958, 1961a,b).

Various anomalies, or exceptions to the simple pattern of diphasic variation have occurred, whose assimilation to the general scheme can throw light on the genic control of protein synthesis. The anomaly presented in this paper is represented by CDC-157, a strain of Salmonella paratyphi B, now much used as an antigen for the production of diagnostic anti-1.2 antiserum (Edwards and Bruner 1942). The advantage of CDC-157 for this purpose is its apparent monophasic behavior; that is, large clones will consist nearly exclusively of cells whose flagellar antigen is 1.2. A genetic analysis of this strain has revealed two anomalies: (1) The 1.2 antigen is determined by an allele at the  $H_1$  locus instead of the  $H_2$  usual for this antigen, and (2) the stock is carrying a duplicate  $H_1$  locus. The genotypic formula of CDC-157 is thus  $H_1^bH_1^{1.2}$ , by contrast to the genotype  $H_1^bH_2^{1.2}$  for typical strains of S. paratyphi B. These genotypes accurately summarize the genetic behavior of the respective strains.

## MATERIALS, METHODS AND RESULTS

The basic techniques of transductional analysis and background information on Salmonella immunogenetics have been detailed in a previous paper (Leder-

<sup>1</sup> These studies were mainly conducted at the Department of Genetics, University of Wisconsin in 1953. Dr. Tetsuo Iino's association with them has done much to clarify the issues brought up here. The work was supported by research grants from the National Cancer Institute, U.S. Public Health Service (C-2157) and the National Science Foundation.

Genetics 46: 1475-1481 November 1961.

BERG and IINO 1956). To recapitulate, a phage grown on a strain A may carry individual markers, or a small cluster of closely linked markers, to strain B, an operation symbolized A—x B or its equivalent B x—A. The transduced markers replace their homologues in the recipient, an event usually detected by selection against the recipient marker. In this case specific antiserums are used to immobilize cells of the recipient flagellar serotype. The  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  loci are transduced independently, as if unlinked to one another, but  $H_1$  is linked to certain Fla (flagellaless, nonmotile) factors. The frequency of transduction is so low,  $10^{-5}$  per marker per phage, that unlinked markers are never, in practice, found together in the same transduction clone.

Strain CDC-157 was kindly furnished by Dr. P. R. Edwards, of the Communicable Disease Center, U.S. Public Health Service, Atlanta, Georgia, and he has also searched his archives for information on its history. A group of some 25 strains was isolated about 1942 from an outbreak of gastroenteritis in the Canal Zone, and identified as S. paratyphi B, var. java, i.e., monophasic b and d-tartratepositive, phage type 3b. Attempts to select alternative phases by selection with b-antiserum met with sporadic success (EDWARDS and BRUNER 1946). CDC-157 itself was such a selection from a culture designated as N25. Further attempts by EDWARDS and BRUNER, and by us to repeat such a selection from this culture, have been unsuccessful, and the two cultures may be accurately designated as monophasic 1.2 and b respectively. Another culture, N97, had been isolated from the same outbreak, and also designated as b. After it was received from Dr. EDWARDS in 1953, however, this culture and single-colony reisolations sporadically generated 1.2 phases after selection in b antiserums. The analysis reported here has therefore concentrated on N97 (see Table 1). The sluggishness of phase variation of N97 and its derivatives has undoubtedly been the chief obstacle to this work.

Other strains and techniques have been fully described in previous publications

TABLE 1

History of strains N25, N97 and CDC-157

Original strains from Canal Zone 1942 epidemic	b antiserum selection gave	Then 1:2 antiserum selection gave	Then b antiserum selection gave
N25 b			
tested	1.2 phase		
<1946	CDC-157	0	
N25 b			
tested	0		
1953			
N97 b	8/8 colonies	8 tests: 0	
tested	1.2 phases		0
1953		2 tests: b	
		phases	

(STOCKER, ZINDER and LEDERBERG 1953; LEDERBERG and IINO 1956; LEDERBERG 1956). Most of the cultures stem from the type collection in Dr. Edwards' laboratory (Edwards and Bruner 1942). Many of the specific sera were kindly furnished from the same source and by the Standards Laboratory, Central Public Health Laboratory, Colindale, London. Dr. Edwards has also confirmed the serotypes of the principal cultures described here.

Variation in strain N97: Upon selection in anti-b agar each of eight single colonies of N97 (b) gave 1.2 phases, though many of the tubes showed no swarms for several days. But only two of a total of ten isolated 1.2 phases, which may be abbreviated N97 (b; 1.2), would revert to b (b; 1.2; b). The phases were refractory to further attempts at demonstrating phase variation. Similar trials with N25(b) gave no 1.2 phases, though CDC-157 had been isolated some years before as a stable 1.2 variant from N25 (b). The following cultures are therefore available for further study: the original N25 (b) and N97 (b), presumably of common origin; the N25 (1.2) secured in 1946 and N97 (1.2) recently obtained from N97 (b). At the present time, the N25 cultures are stubbornly monophasic, while variation from  $b \rightleftharpoons 1.2$  can be demonstrated with some difficulty in N97 cultures.

Transductions with N25 (1.2) = CDC-157: The present study of this strain stems from the findings of transduction experiments that its 1.2 antigen is consistently inherited and at the  $H_1$  rather than at the  $H_2$  locus (Table 2). For example, in transductions from CDC-157 to S. abony  $H_1^bH_2^{enx}$  (symbolized CDC-157—x abony) the progeny was 1.2:enx, a serotype which does not occur naturally. This suggests that the 1.2 antigen of CDC-157 is homologous with the  $b \ (H_1^b)$  rather than the enx  $(H_2^{enx})$  antigen of S. abony. By contrast, transductions of the 1.2 antigen to S. abony from typical diphasic strains such as S. typhimurium or S. paratyphi B have led to the serotype b:1.2, supporting the homology of the typical  $H_2^{1.2}$  with  $H_2^{enx}$ . These results are different but unambiguous depending entirely on the specific strain used as donor. The other transductions of Table 3 furnish additional support for the formulation of CDC-157

TABLE 2  $Transductions \ from \ strain \ CDC-157, \ presumptive \ genotype \ H_1^{1,2}H_2^{-1}$ 

CDC-157 —x:	$ \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Genotype} \\ H_I & H_2 \end{array} $		Progeny serotype		$ \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Inferred genotype} \\ H_1 & H_2 \end{array} $	
a S. paratyphi B. SW666	ь		1.2:		1.2	
b S. typhi H901	d		1.2:		1.2	
c S. abony	$\boldsymbol{b}$	enx	1.2:	enx	1.2	enx
d N25 (b)	b		1.2:		1.2	
e S. miami	$\alpha$	1.5	1.2:	1.5	1.2	1.5
Compare typical S. paratyph CDC-3—x:	i B, stra	ain CDC-3, as	donor, presum	ptive ger	notype $H_1$	$^{b}H_{2}^{1.2}$
cc S. abony	$\boldsymbol{b}$	enx	b:	1.2	$\boldsymbol{b}$	1.2
ee S. miami	а	1.5	{b: {a:	1.5 1.2	b a	1.5 1.2

TABLE	3	
Transductions to CDC-157	(1.2: -)	as recipient

CDC-157 x—:	$\begin{matrix} G \text{enotype} \\ H_1 & H_2 \end{matrix}$		Progeny serotype		$ \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Inferred genotype} \\ H_{\scriptscriptstyle I} & H_{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \end{array} $	
a			b:		b	
b. S. abony	b	enx	1.2:	enx	1.2	$enx^*$
c. S. typhimurium	i	1.2	i:		i	
d. S. paratyphi B. SW666	b		b:		b	

<sup>\*</sup> Further tests were made on this derivative: 3b  $H_1^{\ L_2}H_2^{\ enx}$  viz.,  $H_1^{\ L_2}$  was again replaced by  $H_1^{\ L_1}$ .

as  $H_1^{1,2}$ . The results of the preceding sections might be explained on the assumption that  $H_1^b$  had undergone mutation to  $H_1^{1,2}$  rather than phase variation. The recurrence of the change N97  $b \to N97$  (1.2) cast some doubt on the mutation hypothesis and provoked further study of these strains.

Transduction with N97 (b) and N97 (1.2) as donors (see Table 4): These experiments verify the results already shown with the corresponding strains of N25 and support the assignment of  $H_i^b$  and  $H_i^{1.2}$  to the two phases. N97 therefore displays both  $H_i^b$  and an unusual  $H_i^{1.2}$  in various clones. Two hypotheses might be considered, (1) an anomalous duplication of  $H_i$  in the genotype  $H_i^b H_i^{1.2}$  or (2) a remarkable rate and direction of mutation at the  $H_i$  locus in a euhaploid:  $H_i^b \rightleftharpoons H_i^{1.2}$ . These alternatives could be distinguished by experiments with N97 as recipient.

Transductions to N97 as recipient: These results (Table 5) disqualify the mutation hypothesis and support the duplication of  $H_i$ . By a series of single substitutions, N97 (b) engenders the following homologous serotypes:  $b:1.2 \rightarrow b:i \rightarrow b:a \rightarrow c:a$ . The last form now carries two standard  $H_i$  alleles from type strain. The mutation hypothesis could not account for the transition of  $H_i^b$  to  $H_i^{1.2}$ ,  $H_i^i$ , and  $H_i^a$  respectively in the first three cases. We may conclude that N97 (b) and N97 (1.2) are respectively  $H_i^bH_i^{1.2}$  and  $H_i^bH_i^{1.2}$ .

Table 6 also displays further tests of these genotypes in transductional confrontations. The production of b:c as well as a:c from  $H_1{}^c$ —x  $H_1{}^aH_1{}^b$  indicates the equivalence of the two  $H_1$  loci in the recipient.

An  $H_2$  locus in N97: No  $H_2$  phase could be elicited from N97 by selection in b or 1.2-antiserum, nor did transductions from N97—x S. abony  $(H_2^{enx})$  or S.

TABLE 4

Transductions with N97 (b) and N97 (1.2) as donor

N97 (b) —x:	$H_I$	$\overset{\text{totype}}{H_z}$	Prog sero	geny type		$\mathop{H_{z}^{}}$
a S. typhimurium	i	1.2	b:	1.2	ь	1.2
b <i>S. lomalinda</i>	a	enx	b:	enx	b	enx
c <i>S. miami</i> N97 (1.2)—x	а	1.5	b:	1.5	b	1.5
d S. abony	b	enx	1.2:	enx	1.2	enx

typhimurium  $(H_z^{1,2})$  give any evidence of an  $H_z$  factor in the donor. However,  $H_z$  factors can be transduced in an active form only when the donor strain itself is expressing the  $H_z$  factor (Lederberg and Iino 1956). Therefore, it cannot be excluded that N97 (b; 1.2) has the constitution, say,  $H_1^b H_1^{1,2} H_2^{1,2}$ , the expression of  $H_z$  being subject to an usually sluggish alternation of phase by analogy with  $H_1^a H_z^{enx}$  in S. abortus-equi (Iino 1961a).

Explicit evidence for an  $H_z$  locus in N97 comes from the introduction of  $H_z^{enx}$  by transduction into N97 and its derivatives (Tables 5, 6). Such isolates showed frequent alternation of phase between  $H_z^{enx}$  and one (only one) of the manifest

TABLE 5

Transductions with N97 (b) as recipient

N97 (b) x	$_{H_{_{I}}}^{\mathrm{Ge}}$	$\overset{\mathbf{notype}}{H_z}$	Prog serot		$_{H_{I}}^{\mathrm{Infe}}$	$H_I$	$H_z$
a		1.3	i:	b	i	b	
S. typhimurium b	ι	1.2	i:	1.2	i	1.2	
c	7		b:	enx	b	(1.2)	enx
S. abony d	b	enx	1.2:	enx	1.2	<b>(b)</b>	enx

TABLE 6

Transductions with N97 derivatives

	Derivative	—x or x—	Salmonella:	Prog serot		Infer $H_1$	$H_1$	$\overset{\text{otype}}{H_{z}}$
a	$5a*H_{I}^{i}H_{I}^{b}$	x	sendai $H_{_{\it I}}{}^aH_{_{\it Z}}{}^{1.5}$	a:	b	a	ь	
b	6a $H_{_I}{}^aH_{_I}{}^b$	x	altendorf $H_1^c H_2^{-1.7}$	b:	c	b	c	
c	Od II <sub>I</sub> "II <sub>I</sub> "	х	attenuorj 11 <sub>1</sub> -11 <sub>2</sub> -11	a:	c	a	c	
d	G. Halle		l II hII enr	a:	enx	а	(c)	enx
e	$6c H_1^a H_1^c$	х	$abony\ H_{_{1}}{}^{b}H_{_{2}}{}^{enx}$	c:	enx	(a)	c	enx
f	61 77 i 77 1 a	UiU 19 w shann	l II bII ann	1.2:	enx	1.2	(i)	enx
g	$5bH_{{\scriptscriptstyle 1}}{}^iH_{{\scriptscriptstyle 1}}{}^{1.2}$	х—	abony $H_{_{I}}{}^{b}H_{_{2}}{}^{enx}$	b:	1.2	1.2	b	
h	$5$ a $H_{I}{}^{b}H_{I}{}^{i}$	—х	miami $H_{_{\it I}}{}^aH_{_{\it 2}}{}^{1.5}$	i:	1.5	i		1.5
i	C. Hallb			a:	1.2	а		1.2
j	6a $H_{I}{}^{a}H_{I}{}^{b}$	—х	typhimurium $H_1{}^iH_2{}^{1.2}$	b:	1.2	b		1.2

<sup>\*</sup> Symbols 5a, 6a, etc. refer to the progeny listed in Tables 5 and 6.

 $H_1$  factors. If we assign the genotype  $H_1{}^aH_1{}^cH_2{}^{enx}$ , the phase variability of  $H_2{}^{enx}$  would be expected to accord with that of its diphasic provenience. However, the control of phase between two  $H_1$  loci,  $H_1{}^a$  and  $H_1{}^c$  is not now understood and is difficult to study when it occurs so rarely in the parental cultures as well as the progeny.

The alternative suggestion must be considered that  $H_2^{enx}$  has substituted for an  $H_1^a$  to give the diphasic c.enx,  $H_1^cH_2^{enx}$  (by analogy with the case reported by IINO 1961b). This cannot be disputed except for the strict separation of recognized  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  factors in all other tests reported here. The c.enx type was tested —x  $H_1^iH_2^{1.2}$  and in the face of some technical problems gave one successful transduction typed as i.enx as expected for a regular  $H_2^{enx}$  factor.

Are the duplicated factors linked? In a number of technically favorable trials, including several listed here, the  $H_1$  factors of N97 derivatives were inherited independently. Further tests were made —x SW666  $Fla_1^-$  (Table 7) that indicated linkage of each  $H_1$  to  $Fla^+$ . However, the  $Fla^+$  might also have been duplicated and we conclude nothing as to the mutual linkage of the duplicated  $H_1$ 's. Indeed, there is nothing that would firmly contradict a model of complete duplication of the genome, i.e., that N97 is diploid, though  $H_1$  is the only locus known to be heterozygous.

TABLE 7

Linkage of H<sub>1</sub> factors to Fla<sub>1</sub>

Transductions of N97 derivatives (Table 6) to SW-666

SW-666 Fla <sub>1</sub> - H <sub>1</sub> <sup>b</sup>	Serotypes of $Fla^+$ selections		genotypes $H_1 = H_1$
6c $H_i{}^a H_i{}^c Fla^+$ in phase a	b: a:	!	b a (c?)
6c $H_{I}{}^{a}H_{I}{}^{c}Fla^{+}$ in phase c	b: c: .	++	b a (c?)

Pooled Fla\* swarms, selected for motility without antiserum, were streaked out, and also selected in b antiserum. The isolated clones were tested and typed as shown.

#### DISCUSSION

The chief interest of these findings is that an unlikely anomaly still fits the predictions of the genetic model of phase variation with strict separation of  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  homologues. An exception to this rule has been found only by IINO (1961b) who has traced an allele that behaves as  $H_2^b$  from an  $H_1^b$  parent. It seems most plausible that  $H_2$  originally arose as a duplication of  $H_1$ , and still retains some fundamental homology with it, though this cannot usually be demonstrated. Indeed, synaptic homology should depend on the regional quality of a chromosome segment, beyond a single gene (ZINDER 1960).

The phenotypic confusion of  $H_1^{1.2}$  and  $H_2^{1.2}$  is paralleled by the lw antigen. Salmonella wien must be typed as  $H_1^bH_2^{lw}$ , and S. dar-es-salaam as  $H_1^{lw}H_2^{enz_18}$  to interpret the findings of Edwards, Davis and Cherry (1955). In contrast to 1.2, lw has long been familiar to Salmonella immunologists as behaving in some

strains as a phase-1, in others as phase-2. The inference is suggested by the pattern of alternative phases and is given genetic meaning by the transduction tests.

Spicer and Datta (1959) have reported a clone of S. typhimurium as giving unstable transductional derivatives which show evidence of diploidy at both the  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  loci. It differs from the present case in part in the irreproducibility of the effect and transduction of the duplicated  $H_1$  locus or loci to other strains.

In typical diphasic strains, we can envisage that the  $H_1$  gene is repressed by the action of the  $H_2$  gene, or perhaps of a variable phase controller immediately adjacent to it. No evidence of a controller at the  $H_1$  locus has been found in typical diphasic  $H_1H_2$  strains, only in the response of  $H_1$  to  $H_2$  control. This system has evidently broken down in the  $H_1H_1$  duplications; but we cannot say whether a residual  $H_2$  controller is still coupled to one  $H_1$  or whether another gene is pinch hitting for the evident regulation.

Apart from the troublesome obstinacy of N97 in undergoing phase variation, genetic analysis by selective transduction has evident disadvantages for the articulation of a complex system. We may look forward to constructive applications of sexual breeding methods in Salmonella immunogenetics (BARON, CAREY and SPILMAN 1959).

#### SUMMARY

Salmonella paratyphi B strain CDC-157 has the genotype  $H_1^bH_1^{1.2}H_2^t$ ; typical strains of this serotype are  $H_1^bH_2^{1.2}$ . Two anomalies are thus evident, the duplication of  $H_1$  and the representation of the 1.2 antigen at the  $H_1$  locus. The transductional progeny of CDC-157 affirm the assigned genotype. They include such exceptional serotypes as 1.2:1.5, 1.2:enx, b:i, b:c, and a:c.

## LITERATURE CITED

- BARON, L. S., W. F. CAREY, and W. M. SPILMAN, 1959 Genetic recombination between Escherichia coli and Salmonella typhimurium. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S. 45: 976-984.
- EDWARDS, P. R., and D. W. BRUNER, 1942 Serological identification of Salmonella cultures. The University of Kentucky, Agricultural Experiment Station, Circular 54.
  - 1946 Notes on monophasic Salmonella cultures and their use in the production of diagnostic serums. J. Bacteriol. **52**: 493-498.
- EDWARDS, P. R., B. R. DAVIS, and W. B. CHERRY, 1955 Transfer of antigens by phage lysates with particular reference to the l,w antigens of Salmonella. J. Bacteriol. 70: 279-284.
- IINO, T., 1958 Immunogenetics of Salmonella. Thesis. University of Wisconsin.
  - 1961a A stabilizer of antigenic phases in Salmonella abortus-equi. Genetics 46: 1465-1469.

    1961b Anomalous homology of flagellar phases in Salmonella. Genetics 46: 1471-1474.
- LEDERBERG, J., 1956 Linear inheritance in transductional clones. Genetics 41: 845-871.
- LEDERBERG, J., and P. R. EDWARDS, 1953 Serotypic recombination in Salmonella. J. Immunol. 71: 232-240.
- LEDERBERG, J., and T. IINO, 1956 Phase variation in Salmonella. Genetics 41: 743-757.
- Spicer, C. C., and N. Datta, 1959 Reversion of transduced antigenic characters in Salmonella typhimurium. J. Gen. Microbiol. 20: 136-143.
- STOCKER, B. A. D., N. D. ZINDER, and J. LEDERBERG, 1953 Transduction of flagellar characters in Salmonella. J. Gen. Microbiol. 9: 410-433.
- ZINDER, N. D., 1960 Hybrids of Escherichia and Salmonella. Science 131: 813-815.